

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,485 04/06/2001		/06/2001	Thomas Brumm	112740-209	112740-209 5739	
29177	7590	05/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BELL, BOY	D & LLC	RYMAN, I	RYMAN, DANIEL J			
P. O. BOX 11	35					
CHICAGO, I	L 60690-	-1135	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2616			

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/827,485	BRUMM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel J. Ryman	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ag	oril 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
·	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 28-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 28-37 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	n from consideration.					
Application Papers	· . •					
•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		- Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/827,485

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Arguments

1. The indicated allowability of claims 28-37 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Baratz et al. (USPN 5,742,596). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 3, "a data processing device" should be "a data processing system" in view of claim 33 which refers to "the data processing system". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 29 discloses that the second signaling information is transmitted as part of signaling packets that do not contain any first signaling information. Claim 28, which claim 29 depends upon, discloses that the second signaling information is transmitted as data packets. While claim 29 limits the type of signaling packets that can be used to transport the second signaling information, claim 28 discloses that the second signaling information is transmitted in

Application/Control Number: 09/827,485

Art Unit: 2616

data packets rather than signaling packets. Since Examiner is unsure of the intended scope of claim 29, Examiner will not examine claim 29 regarding the status of the prior art.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 28, 30-33, and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baratz et al. (USPN 5,742,596).
- 8. Regarding claims 28 and 37, Baratz discloses a terminal device (ref. 41: telephony client, see col. 4, lines 35-43) coupled to a packet-switched communication network (ref. 37, see col. 4, lines 29-36) comprising: a data processing device having a first program module ("other applications besides telephony client applications," see col. 5, lines 33-40), wherein said processing device configures first signaling information according to a first packet-switched standard protocol (see col. 5, lines 33-40, where any signaling information sent by these "other applications" will conform to the network protocol), and configures second signaling information (PBX control information) according to a circuit-switched standard protocol (col. 5, lines 1-6; col. 5, lines 38-40; and col. 6, lines 39-47, where the traditional control information is transmitted as LAN packets), an interface unit for operatively coupling the terminal device to the packet-switched communication network (ref. 43: NIC, see col. 4, lines 35-36) wherein the first signaling information is transmitted through the interface with the assistance of signaling packets of the packet-switched communication network (see col. 5, lines 33-40, where any signaling

Art Unit: 2616

information sent by these "other applications" will conform to the network protocol), and the second signaling information is transmitted through the interface with the assistance of data packets of the packet-switched communication network (col. 5, lines 1-6; col. 5, lines 38-40; and col. 6, lines 39-47, where the traditional telephone control information is transmitted as LAN packets).

- 9. Regarding claim 30, Baratz discloses that signaling information for at least one service and/or performance feature is transmitted as second signaling information (col. 6, lines 58-63).
- 10. Regarding claim 31, Baratz discloses that the service feature and/or performance feature includes at least one of call pick up, three way conferencing, large scale conferencing, holding, displaying of toll information, a closed user group, call number identification, automatic call back when busy, automatic call back when no response, call barring, call waiting indication and call transfer (col. 6, lines 58-63).
- Regarding claim 32, Baratz discloses that the second signaling information, with the assistance of the packet-switched communication network, is transmitted from the terminal device (ref. 41: telephony client, see Fig. 1) to a second interface unit (ref. 44: telephony server, see Fig. 1) between the packet-switched communication network and the circuit-switched communication network (col. 6, lines 7-15, where the telephony server module interfaces the network with the PSTN).
- Regarding claim 33, Baratz discloses that the data processing system further comprises a second program module that converts the transmitted first and second signaling information into image information to be displayed on a display unit (Fig. 6) and processes information which is input using an input unit, using data exchanged between the first program module and the second

Art Unit: 2616

program module (col. 10, lines 35-46, where the host computer has a device driver which permits

a client to interact with applications on the host computer).

13. Regarding claim 36, Baratz discloses that the terminal device is configured as a computer system with software and hardware (col. 4, lines 35-43).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baratz et al. (USPN 5,742,596).
- Regarding claim 34, Baratz does not expressly disclose that the second program module provides a graphical interface; however, Baratz does disclose that the host computer has software which permits a client to interact with applications (Fig. 6 and col. 10, lines 35-46). Examiner takes official notice that GUIs are well known in the art as a means for permitting a user to interact with software on a computer. As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the second program module provide a graphical interface in order to permit a client to interact with software on the host computer in a well-known manner.
- 17. Regarding claim 35, Baratz does not expressly disclose that a number of possible graphical interfaces are stored in the data processing device, and the user interfaces are optionally switched over by the second program module; however, Baratz does disclose that

Application/Control Number: 09/827,485

Art Unit: 2616

+- 2616

Page 6

dialer). Examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to have each application use

there are multiple applications on the host computer (col. 10, lines 35-46, e.g. phone book and

a different graphical interface which is customized for the particular application. As such, it

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a

number of possible graphical interfaces stored in the data processing device and to have the user

interfaces optically switched over by the second program module in order to permit a user to

interact with a particular application using a graphical interface customized for that application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Ryman whose telephone number is (571)272-3152. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DIR

Daniel J. Ryman Examiner

Art Unit 2616

HUY D. VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM"

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 26